#### **BEFORE THE**

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

File No. NSD - L - 99 -24	
	CC Docket No. 92-105

## SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On March 8, 1999, the United States Department of Transportation (US DOT) filed a petition with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) for the assignment of a nationwide standard abbreviated dialing number (N-1-1) for use by state and local governments to deliver travel-related information to the general public. On April 20, 1999, the FCC released a public notice that established the pleading cycle for comments responding to the US DOT's petition.

On August 20, 1999, The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Ohio Commission or PUCO) filed comments in these proceedings providing the FCC with the deployment

**CC Docket No. 92-105** 

NSD L-99-24

Page 2 of 6

status of 2-1-1 and 5-1-1 in the State of Ohio. At that time, the Ohio Commission

informed the FCC that it had established a two-year pilot project under which it had

authorized the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) to use, on an intrastate

basis, an N-1-1 service code in connection with Advanced Regional Traffic Interactive

Management & Information System (ARTIMIS) to manage more effectively traffic

patterns though information to the general public. The Ohio Commission's comments,

however, neither supported nor opposed the US DOT's petition.

On October 8, 2004, the FCC issued a Public Notice (notice) in the above-

captioned proceedings inviting interested parties to update the record concerning the

designation of 2-1-1 and 5-1-1 as abbreviated dialing codes and the US DOT's request

for a nationwide N-1-1.

Consistent with the notice, the Ohio Commission takes this opportunity to

provide the FCC with an update concerning the status of N-1-1 deployment in the State

of Ohio. In keeping with our original comments regarding this matter, the Ohio

Commission takes no position either supporting or opposing US DOT's request.

Comments responding to the FCC's October 8, 2004 notice are due at the FCC on

November 12, 2004.

Ohio Commission Supplemental Comments CC Docket No. 92-105 NSD L-99-24 Page 3 of 6

#### **DISCUSSION**

### 2-1-1

On June 21, 2001, the Ohio Commission issued a Finding and Order in Case No. 93-1799-TP-COI (Appendix A) designating the Ohio Council of Information and Referral Providers (OCIRP) as the Commission-sanctioned administrator for 2-1-1 information and referral services in the State of Ohio.<sup>1</sup> In that decision, the Ohio Commission also required the OCIRP to filed reports at six-month intervals that identify all approved 2-1-1 call centers, the date of their application, the approval date, the proposed service area, the serving telephone company, the date the approved application was provided to the serving telephone company, and the date the 2-1-1 service commenced to the call center. The most recent OCIRP report, which was filed on August 31, 2004, reflects that 31 of Ohio's 88 counties have access to 2-1-1 abbreviated dialing to social service and referral agencies.<sup>2</sup>

Taking into consideration the FCC's mandate regarding 2-1-1 service, the Ohio Commission further required that a standard tariffed 2-1-1 service offering be made available by those service providers over whom the PUCO exercised jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The PUCO's November 21, 2004, Entry on Rehearing in Case No. 93-1799-TP-COI is attached as Appendix B.

The OCIRP report and the PUCO's decisions in our abbreviated dialing pattern investigation can be accessed at the following URL by entering "93-1799" in the search dialog box: <a href="http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/">http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/</a>

**CC Docket No. 92-105** 

NSD L-99-24

Page 4 of 6

Consequently, all LECs in the State of Ohio were instructed to file proposed 2-1-1 tariffs

for PUCO approval. That is, the Ohio Commission determined that OCIRP-approved

call centers may make application to the serving telephone company for 2-1-1 access

services. The call centers subsequently are to furnish the local service providers with

the necessary information to develop a 2-1-1 service offering. The local service provider

no later than thirty days after receipt of the first application for 2-1-1 service from an

approved call center is required to file a proposed 2-1-1 tariff with the PUCO for

approval. The tariff application must also reflect that the date that service can be

initiated is no later than 30 days after the effective date of the proposed tariff. Attached

as Appendix C is a table listing LEC-approved 2-1-1 services to sanctioned providers.

The table reflects that 12 of Ohio's 43 ILECs (including the states four largest carriers)

provide 2-1-1 services.

5-1-1

Consistent with the FCC's 2-1-1 decisions, the Ohio Commission's June 21, 2001,

Finding and Order began the process of migrating the ODOT's use of 2-1-1 to 5-1-1 for

its traffic information service to the general public. In that same decision, the PUCO

also granted the ODOT an extension of time to continue its ARTIMIS pilot project.

On July 12, 2004, the Ohio Commission in Case No. 93-1799 issued an entry

inviting supplemental comments regarding ODOT's request for a permanent

**CC Docket No. 92-105** 

NSD L-99-24

Page 5 of 6

designation of 5-1-1 to facilitate traveler information statewide in Ohio. In particular,

the Ohio Commission invited comments regarding an ODOT petition to be designated

as the State of Ohio's 5-1-1 implementation coordinator, because, as ODOT maintains, it

possesses the necessary experience with (1) the intelligent transportation system (ITS);

(2) in developing plans for a statewide ITS architecture; and (3) constructing, operating,

and maintaining transportation infrastructure and resources.

The Ohio Commission also invited comments on an SBC's proposal to establish

an optional service entitled "SBC 511," PUCO Case No. 04-601-TP-COI. SBC's

application reflects that its proposed service is intended to allow wireline local

exchange end users by dialing 5-1-1 to reach a call center designated by the tariffed

customer (i.e., the Federal or State governmental transportation agency that purchases

the service). The application to establish SBC 5-1-1 also reflects that the service will be

furnished to 5-1-1 providers for a nonrecurring charge.

Since SBC's 5-1-1 application raised potentially interrelated issues similar to

those raised by ODOT's request for 5-1-1 designation as implementation coordinator,

the Ohio Commission merged SBC's 04-601 and the PUCO's 93-1799 proceeding for the

explicit purpose of requesting comments from interested persons regarding ODOT's

request for designation authority and SBC's proposed 5-1-1 service. Comments were

due on June 21, 2004. A copy of the PUCO's Entry is attached Appendix D.

CC Docket No. 92-105

NSD L-99-24

Page 6 of 6

As mentioned earlier, because the PUCO is currently not yet in a position to

evaluate the manner in which the public interest has been affected by ODOT's trial use

of an N-1-1 service code in connection with its ARTIMIS traffic management project, the

PUCO does not wish, at this time, to take any position, either in support of or against,

the petition filed with the FCC by the US DOT.

**CONCLUSION** 

The Ohio Commission thanks the FCC for the opportunity to file comments

updating the status of 5-1-1 and 2-1-1 implementation in the State of Ohio.

Respectfully submitted,

Jim Petro

Attorney General

Steven T. Nourse

Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section 180 E. Broad St. Columbus, OH 43215

(614) 466-4395

Fax: (614) 644-8764

Dated: November 12, 2004